

THE
ROGER
BALDWIN
FOUNDATION
OF ACLU,
INC.

150 N MICHIGAN AVE STE 600
CHICAGO IL 60601-7570
T: 312-201-9740
F: 312-288-5225
WWW.ACLU-IL.ORG



May 29, 2018

VIA EMAIL & U.S. MAIL

Joseph Ferguson
Chicago Inspector General
City of Chicago Office of Inspector General
740 N Sedgwick St Ste 200
Chicago IL 60654

Re: CPD gang database

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

We were pleased that your office announced that it is investigating Chicago Police Department's gang database. I write to provide some information about the agreement between the ACLU, City of Chicago and Chicago Police Department ("CPD") ("the Agreement"); the Investigatory Stop Reports ("ISRs"); and some historical context that you may find useful during your investigation.

A. Background on the Agreement

In April 2015, the ACLU published a report about CPD's extensive stop and frisk program.¹ In just four months of 2014, over a quarter million people were stopped in Chicago. In addition to the alarming frequency of the stops was a drastic racial disparity—Black people were stopped more than twice as frequently and Hispanic people nearly twice as frequently as white people, even though Chicago's populations of white, Black and Latino people are roughly equally sized. This disparity as compared to population was even more pronounced in predominately white districts like Jefferson Park and Near North. The report also reviewed the narrative descriptions that officers recorded for 250 randomly selected stops from 2012 and 2013, and determined that half of them lacked sufficient reasonable articulable suspicion to comply with the Fourth Amendment.

¹ That report, the Agreement, and this letter focus on *Terry* stops of pedestrians and, unless otherwise noted, discussion of "stops" does not include vehicle (traffic) stops.

In August 2015, the ACLU, City of Chicago and CPD announced an agreement under which the ACLU would not file its suit against the City for unconstitutional and unlawful stop and frisk practices and, in exchange, CPD would improve data collection, train its officers, conduct audits of the data, and have an outside monitor oversee the Agreement. The Agreement will continue until CPD has been in substantial compliance with the Agreement—not only by completing those tasks but also by showing that officers’ stops are substantially complying with the Fourth Amendment and the Illinois Civil Rights Act—for two reporting periods.

Since the Agreement took effect in January 2016, the number of stops have declined, and data suggests a higher percentage of stops are based on reasonable articulable suspicion. However, significant racial disparities remain—last year over 90% of the people stopped were Black or Latino.

B. Requirements for the ISR form under the Agreement

While some of the data that CPD collects on ISR forms is required by the Agreement, much of it is not. Over the last several years, some have suggested that the ACLU wrote the current version of the ISRs and dictated its contents. This is not true. CPD based the ISRs on previously used “contact cards,” which already contained many of the fields on the current ISR. *See* Ex. A.

The Agreement required CPD to *continue collecting* the following information:

- The name and badge numbers of the officers who conducted the stop;
- The race/ethnicity of the person stopped;
- The gender of the person stopped;
- The location of the stop, including the address, beat and district; and
- The date and time of the stop.²

Officers were also already required to write a narrative explaining the reason for the stop.

In addition to this information, the City and CPD agreed to *start collecting* the following:

- Whether a pat down or search was conducted and, if so, why;
- Whether the pat down or search resulted in a finding of contraband and, if so, what; and
- Whether the person was arrested or given a ticket and, if so, on what charges.³

For ease of reference, attached is the ISR with the all new fields required by the Agreement highlighted. Ex. B.

² Stop and frisk agreement at 2.

³ A state law, which took effect January 1, 2016, requires the collection of the same data as the Agreement for stops that result in a frisk, arrest, or ticket. 625 ILCS 5/11-212.

C. Fields on the ISR form not required by the Agreement

In addition to the fields that collect data to comply with the Agreement, there are many other fields on the ISR. Of these, a few are useful but not required by the Agreement:

- An event number that links the ISR to other forms;
- Checkboxes that document whether the stop was recorded by an in-car or body-worn camera; and
- Checkboxes that differentiate between “dispatched” stops (based on 911 calls) and “on view” stops (potentially based more on officer discretion).

Additionally, there is a section that distinguishes *Terry* stops from gang/narcotic-related enforcement. Gang/narcotic-related enforcement stops are based on a Chicago ordinance that allows officers to disperse people from a previously designated gang or narcotic hot spot if two or more people are loitering there, at least one gang member is present, and the loitering is consistent with maintaining control of the area for an illegal purpose. *See* Municipal Code of Chicago § 8-4-015 (Gang Loitering); 8-4-017 (Narcotics-Related Loitering).⁴ The ACLU has opposed this and similar ordinances for decades. *See Morales v. City of Chicago*, 527 U.S. 41 (1991). However, if such ordinances are going to be enforced, dispersals should be documented and evaluated for compliance with the statute and potential racial bias. We would like to know: If the documentation is also used for gang designations, does the CPD designate everyone involved in the dispersal? The ordinance only requires that one gang member be present; any others present need not meet that standard.

The remainder of the ISR is not required by the Agreement, and, in some cases, is potentially harmful. First and foremost, sections of the form document gang information. *See* Ex. C (ISR with sections related to gangs highlighted). The Agreement between the ACLU, City and CPD does not address these designations. The order guiding use of ISRs (Special Order S04-13-09⁵) does not include standards for when people are designated as gang members on this form. Given that there is no standard, it would be cause for serious concern if ISRs are being used to designate gang members.

Second, there is a great deal of private information collected in the ISRs, including the home address, school, school address, workplace and workplace address of the person stopped. This data collection is invasive. The ACLU’s goal under the Agreement is to collect information relevant to assessing the City and CPD’s compliance with the Fourth Amendment and the disparate impact standards of ICRA; personal data aside from basic demographic data is not necessary for that assessment and not required by our Agreement.

⁴ *See also* the CPD order on loitering ordinances:

<http://directives.chicagopolice.org/directives/data/a7a57be2-12a5752b-27112-a586-d845218c69a1f912.html>

⁵ Available at: <http://directives.chicagopolice.org/directives/data/a7a57b99-151b6927-49f15-1b69-2c32e99868b316b0.html?hl=true>

A final area of unnecessary data collection is the checkboxes that allow officers to select reasons for the stops. Officers write narratives to justify stops and frisks, which are then assessed for Fourth Amendment compliance. For that reason, the checkboxes are superfluous and add to the length of the form. Additionally, of the stops made public by the CPD, 40.6% percent of stops for 2017 have “other” checked as the only RAS factor, suggesting that they are not useful to the officers or CPD either.⁶

D. Areas of investigation

As the Inspector General’s office examines how CPD designates people as gang members, we recommend that your office assess and publish information about the following topics:

- What are all of the ways that someone could be designated as a gang member? For example:
 - On what forms are gang designations made?
 - What standards does CPD use to make gang designations?
 - Does CPD receive gang designations from other entities (federal, state, or local)? If so, which ones? What standards do they use, and what, if any, does CPD require before designating an individual as a gang member based on a designation by another entity?
- Are gang designations ever revised or purged? If so, under what conditions? For example:
 - Is there a standard for when a designation should be removed?
 - Are there regular reviews of designations?
 - How are designations purged internally throughout CPD’s and the City’s records?
 - If a designation is removed, is that communicated to anyone who has been informed externally of the designation (e.g. other law enforcement agencies, ICE, etc.)?
- In what ways does CPD use gang designations? For example:
 - What information about gang designations do CPD officers have access to when they interact with the public during *Terry* stops, probable cause stops, traffic stops, and all other encounters?
 - Do gang designations impact whether people may receive an I-bond? If so, is the impact recorded? If so, how often does this happen and what is the racial and district breakdown of the people impacted?
 - Do gang designations impact criminal charges, sentencing or probation? If so, is the impact recorded? If so, how often does this happen and what is the racial and district breakdown of the people impacted?
- In what ways does CPD communicate gang designations to others? For example:
 - Does CPD provide this information to other law enforcement agencies (e.g. ATF, FBI, Illinois State Police, etc.)? If so, which ones?

⁶ The stops are made publicly available at: <https://home.chicagopolice.org/isr-data/>. The data does not include information regarding stops of juveniles.

- Does CPD provide this information to other government agencies (*e.g.* BOE or CPS, ICE, professional licensing boards, IDOC, DCFS, etc.)? If so, which ones?
- Does CPD provide this information to anyone else, such as landlords or employers doing background checks? If so, under what circumstances?

Thank you for taking on this investigation. We hope it leads to greater transparency regarding gang designations and their impact on people's lives.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Karen Sheley". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Karen" and last name "Sheley" clearly distinguishable.

Karen Sheley
Director, Police Practices Project

08802467		DATE / TIME CONTACTED		SUBMITTING REAT		RELATED CARD #'S (TO IDENTIFY ASSOCIATES)	
ADDRESS OF CONTACT		(NUMBER / DIR / STREET)					
TYPE OF CONTACT	TRAFFIC RELATED	CRIME VICTIM	SUSPICIOUS PERSON	GANG / NARC RELATED	ROGUE'S OFFENDER	OTHER	
NAME (LAST - FIRST - MI.)				NICKNAME			
ADDRESS OF RESIDENCE (NUMBER / DIR / STREET NAME)			APT/FLOOR		CITY / STATE / ZIP		DOB
SEX	RACE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	BUILD	EYES	HAIR	HAIRSTYLE
COMPLEXION							
CLOTHING TYPE / COLOR					PHONE #		
EMPLOYER / SCHOOL			ADDRESS			CELL #	
VEHICLE PLATE # - TYPE / STATE / EXP. (OR TEMP TAG) #				VIN #			
VEHICLE MAKE		MODEL		BODY STYLE		COLOR	
CPD 21 101 (Rev 8/06)				CONTACT INFORMATION CARD / CHICAGO POLICE DEPT.			

NAME VERIFIED DRIVERS LIC # BY I.D. ?		SOCIAL SEC #		OTHER I.D. TYPE OR MEANS ?	
R.D. # (IF RELATED)		OCD - I #		HOT SPOT #	
EVENT #		MISSION #			
SCARS / MARKS / TATTOOS					
TO BE COMPLETED ONLY IF INCIDENT / CONTACT HAS GANG INVOLVEMENT					
POSSIBLE INVOLVED GANG			GANGS KNOWN HANG-OUTS		
TYPES OF GANG RELATED CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES (EXAMPLE)		<input type="checkbox"/> GANG LOOKOUT	<input type="checkbox"/> GANG SECURITY	<input type="checkbox"/> INTIMIDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT NARCOTIC ACTIVITY
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER DESCRIBE BELOW...			
DESCRIBE REASONS FOR CONTACT					
PREPARING OFFICER - NAME & STAR #			PREPARING OFFICER - NAME & STAR #		
SUPV. APPROVAL - NAME & STAR #					

INVESTIGATORY STOP REPORT

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT CPD-11.910 (REV. 7/17)

☐ ADULT
☐ JUVENILE

ISR NO.

Exhibit B

EVENT NO.

DATE OF STOP		TIME OF STOP		SUBMITTING BEAT		BEAT OF OCC.		LOCATION CODE		ADDRESS OF STOP (Number/Direction/Street Name)					
NAME (Last, First, Middle)						NICKNAME(S)			DATE OF BIRTH		AGE / EST. AGE				
ADDRESS OF RESIDENCE (Number/Direction/Street Name/Apt./Floor/City/State/Zipcode)								HOME PHONE NO.		CELL PHONE NO.					
SEX		HEIGHT		WEIGHT		BUILD		EYE COLOR		HAIR COLOR		HAIRSTYLE		COMPLEXION	
WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOU BELIEVE IS THE RACE OF THE PERSON STOPPED?										RELATED ISR NO. (To Identify Associates)					
<input type="checkbox"/> BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN		<input type="checkbox"/> HISPANIC OR LATINO		<input type="checkbox"/> AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE											
<input type="checkbox"/> WHITE		<input type="checkbox"/> ASIAN		<input type="checkbox"/> NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER											
CLOTHING TYPE/COLOR				SCARS/MARKS/TATTOOS				FACIAL HAIR		RECORDED: <input type="checkbox"/> IN-CAR VIDEO <input type="checkbox"/> BODY WORN CAM.					
EMPLOYER'S NAME						EMPLOYER'S ADDRESS									
SCHOOL'S NAME				SCHOOL'S ADDRESS				EVENT ASSIGNED BY		<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCHED <input type="checkbox"/> ON VIEW <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER					
NAME VERIFIED BY ID <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		DRIVERS LICENSE NO./STATE ID NO.				OTHER ID TYPE OR MEANS									
DID THE STOP INVOLVE A VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		LICENSE PLATE NO.				TYPE/STATE/EXP. (OR TEMP. TAG NO.)									
V.I.N. NO.		VEHICLE YEAR		MAKE		MODEL		BODY STYLE		COLOR					
MISSION NO.		BOC-I NO.		HOT SPOT NO.		RD NO. (If Related)		GANG/NARCOTIC RELATED ENFORCEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (AS IN S10-02-03)		DISPERSAL TIME		NO. DISP.			
DISPOSITION OF THE STOP:		IF YES, CHECK APPLICABLE BOX BELOW.								CITED VIOLATIONS/CHARGES					
ENFORCEMENT ACTION TAKEN? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		<input type="checkbox"/> ARREST <input type="checkbox"/> PERSONAL SERVICE CITATION (CIT. #) <input type="checkbox"/> ANOV (CIT. #) <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)													
GANG INFORMATION SECTION (COMPLETE THIS SHADED SECTION ONLY IF INCIDENT/SUBJECT HAS GANG INVOLVEMENT):															
GANG/FACTION				GANG KNOWN HANG-OUTS											
TYPES OF GANG CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES (Describe in Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2) <input type="checkbox"/> GANG LOOKOUT <input type="checkbox"/> GANG SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> INTIMIDATION <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT NARCOTIC ACTIVITY <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Describe:)															
WHAT WERE THE FACTORS THAT LED TO THE STOP?															
<input type="checkbox"/> REASONABLE ARTICULABLE SUSPICION (Check all that apply. All checked items must be described in the Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2)															
<input type="checkbox"/> PROBABLE CAUSE (Explain in the Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2)															
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF ENGAGING IN DRUG TRANSACTION															
<input type="checkbox"/> FITS DESCRIPTION FROM FLASH MESSAGE															
<input type="checkbox"/> GANG/NARCOTIC RELATED ENFORCEMENT															
<input type="checkbox"/> FITS DESCRIPTION OF AN OFFENDER AS DESCRIBED BY VICTIM OR WITNESS															
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF "CASING" VICTIM OR LOCATION															
<input type="checkbox"/> PROXIMITY TO THE REPORTED CRIME LOCATION															
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER															
WHAT WERE THE REASONABLE ARTICULABLE SUSPICION FACTORS THAT LED TO THE PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN (Check all that apply. All checked items must be described in the Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2):															
<input type="checkbox"/> VERBAL THREATS OF VIOLENCE BY SUSPECT															
<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLENT CRIME SUSPECTED															
<input type="checkbox"/> KNOWLEDGE OF SUSPECT'S PRIOR CRIMINAL VIOLENT BEHAVIOR/USE OF FORCE/USE OF WEAPON															
<input type="checkbox"/> SUSPICIOUS BULGE/OBJECT															
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF ENGAGING IN VIOLENT BEHAVIOR															
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER REASONABLE SUSPICION OF WEAPONS															
WAS A WEAPON OR CONTRABAND DISCOVERED AS A RESULT OF THE PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW.															
<input type="checkbox"/> FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> COCAINE Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> HEROIN Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER Describe:															
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER WEAPON Describe: <input type="checkbox"/> CANNABIS Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE Describe below:															
<input type="checkbox"/> STOLEN PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> ALCOHOL <input type="checkbox"/> DRUG PARAPHERNALIA Wgt.															
WAS A SEARCH BEYOND A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN CONDUCTED OF THE PERSON? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO															
WAS A SEARCH BEYOND A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN CONDUCTED OF HIS/HER EFFECTS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO															
WAS THE SEARCH BEYOND CONDUCTED BY CONSENT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF NO, EXPLAIN THE BASIS FOR AND ALL THE REASONS THAT LED TO THE SEARCH BEYOND A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN IN THE NARRATIVE															
WAS CONTRABAND FOUND AS A RESULT OF THE SEARCH? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW.															
<input type="checkbox"/> FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> COCAINE Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> HEROIN Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER Describe:															
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER WEAPON Describe: <input type="checkbox"/> CANNABIS Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE Describe below:															
<input type="checkbox"/> STOLEN PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> ALCOHOL <input type="checkbox"/> DRUG PARAPHERNALIA Wgt.															

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

ISR NO.

SECOND OFFICER'S NAME AND STAR NO.

FOR A REJECTED ISR, COMPLETE AN
INVESTIGATORY STOP REPORT
DEFICIENCY NOTIFICATION (CPD-11.914).

INVESTIGATORY STOP REPORT

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT CPD-11.910 (REV. 7/17)

☐ ADULT
☐ JUVENILE

ISR NO.

Exhibit C

EVENT NO.

DATE OF STOP		TIME OF STOP		SUBMITTING BEAT		BEAT OF OCC.		LOCATION CODE		ADDRESS OF STOP (Number/Direction/Street Name)					
NAME (Last, First, Middle)						NICKNAME(S)			DATE OF BIRTH		AGE / EST. AGE				
ADDRESS OF RESIDENCE (Number/Direction/Street Name/Apt./Floor/City/State/Zipcode)								HOME PHONE NO.		CELL PHONE NO.					
SEX		HEIGHT		WEIGHT		BUILD		EYE COLOR		HAIR COLOR		HAIRSTYLE		COMPLEXION	
WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOU BELIEVE IS THE RACE OF THE PERSON STOPPED? <input type="checkbox"/> BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN <input type="checkbox"/> HISPANIC OR LATINO <input type="checkbox"/> AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE <input type="checkbox"/> WHITE <input type="checkbox"/> ASIAN <input type="checkbox"/> NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER										RELATED ISR NO. (To Identify Associates)					
CLOTHING TYPE/COLOR						SCARS/MARKS/TATTOOS				FACIAL HAIR		RECORDED: <input type="checkbox"/> IN-CAR VIDEO <input type="checkbox"/> BODY WORN CAM.			
EMPLOYER'S NAME							EMPLOYER'S ADDRESS								
SCHOOL'S NAME				SCHOOL'S ADDRESS				EVENT ASSIGNED BY <input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCHED <input type="checkbox"/> ON VIEW <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER							
NAME VERIFIED BY ID <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		DRIVERS LICENSE NO./STATE ID NO.				OTHER ID TYPE OR MEANS									
DID THE STOP INVOLVE A VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		LICENSE PLATE NO.						TYPE/STATE/EXP. (OR TEMP. TAG NO.)							
V.I.N. NO.			VEHICLE YEAR		MAKE		MODEL		BODY STYLE		COLOR				
MISSION NO.		BOC-I NO.		HOT SPOT NO.		RD NO. (If Related)		GANG/NARCOTIC RELATED ENFORCEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (AS IN S10-02-03)		DISPERSAL TIME		NO. DISP.			
DISPOSITION OF THE STOP:		IF YES, CHECK APPLICABLE BOX BELOW. ENFORCEMENT ACTION TAKEN? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> ARREST <input type="checkbox"/> PERSONAL SERVICE CITATION (CIT. #) <input type="checkbox"/> ANOV (CIT. #) <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)								CITED VIOLATIONS/CHARGES					
GANG INFORMATION SECTION (COMPLETE THIS SHADED SECTION ONLY IF INCIDENT/SUBJECT HAS GANG INVOLVEMENT):															
GANG/FACTION				GANG KNOWN HANG-OUTS											
TYPES OF GANG CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES (Describe in Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2) <input type="checkbox"/> GANG LOOKOUT <input type="checkbox"/> GANG SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> INTIMIDATION <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT NARCOTIC ACTIVITY <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Describe:)															
WHAT WERE THE FACTORS THAT LED TO THE STOP? <input type="checkbox"/> REASONABLE ARTICULABLE SUSPICION (Check all that apply. All checked items must be described in the Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF ENGAGING IN DRUG TRANSACTION <input type="checkbox"/> FITS DESCRIPTION FROM FLASH MESSAGE <input type="checkbox"/> GANG/NARCOTIC RELATED ENFORCEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> FITS DESCRIPTION OF AN OFFENDER AS DESCRIBED BY VICTIM OR WITNESS <input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF "CASING" VICTIM OR LOCATION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> PROXIMITY TO THE REPORTED CRIME LOCATION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> PROBABLE CAUSE (Explain in the Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2)															
WAS A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN CONDUCTED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		WHAT WERE THE REASONABLE ARTICULABLE SUSPICION FACTORS THAT LED TO THE PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN (Check all that apply. All checked items must be described in the Investigatory Stop Narrative on Side 2): <input type="checkbox"/> VERBAL THREATS OF VIOLENCE BY SUSPECT <input type="checkbox"/> VIOLENT CRIME SUSPECTED <input type="checkbox"/> KNOWLEDGE OF SUSPECT'S PRIOR CRIMINAL VIOLENT BEHAVIOR/USE OF FORCE/USE OF WEAPON <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPICIOUS BULGE/OBJECT <input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF ENGAGING IN VIOLENT BEHAVIOR <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER REASONABLE SUSPICION OF WEAPONS													
WAS PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN BASED ON CONSENT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO															
RECEIPT GIVEN? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO															
WAS A WEAPON OR CONTRABAND DISCOVERED AS A RESULT OF THE PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW. <input type="checkbox"/> FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> COCAINE Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> HEROIN Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER Describe: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER WEAPON Describe: <input type="checkbox"/> CANNABIS Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE Describe below: <input type="checkbox"/> STOLEN PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> ALCOHOL <input type="checkbox"/> DRUG PARAPHERNALIA Wgt.															
WAS A SEARCH BEYOND A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN CONDUCTED OF THE PERSON? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO															
WAS A SEARCH BEYOND A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN CONDUCTED OF HIS/HER EFFECTS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO															
WAS THE SEARCH BEYOND CONDUCTED BY CONSENT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF NO, EXPLAIN THE BASIS FOR AND ALL THE REASONS THAT LED TO THE SEARCH BEYOND A PROTECTIVE PAT DOWN IN THE NARRATIVE															
WAS CONTRABAND FOUND AS A RESULT OF THE SEARCH? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW. <input type="checkbox"/> FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> COCAINE Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> HEROIN Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER Describe: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER WEAPON Describe: <input type="checkbox"/> CANNABIS Wgt. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE Describe below: <input type="checkbox"/> STOLEN PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> ALCOHOL <input type="checkbox"/> DRUG PARAPHERNALIA Wgt.															

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

ISR NO.

SECOND OFFICER'S NAME AND STAR NO.

FOR A REJECTED ISR, COMPLETE AN
INVESTIGATORY STOP REPORT
DEFICIENCY NOTIFICATION (CPD-11.914).